

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

OPTIS WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY, LLC,	§	
PANOPTIS PATENT MANAGEMENT,	§	
LLC, OPTIS CELLULAR	§	Case No. 2:17-CV-00123-JRG-RSP
TECHNOLOGY, LLC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO. LTD.,	§	
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC., HUAWEI	§	
DEVICE (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

**ORDER**

The Court has considered the parties’ objections to Magistrate Judge Payne’s report and recommendation on various dispositive pretrial motions and has found the objections so raised unpersuasive. Accordingly, and upon *de novo* review of the report and recommendation, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) The parties objections (Dkt. Nos. 229, 230) are **OVERRULED**.
- (2) The report and recommendation (Dkt. No. 214) is **ADOPTED**.
- (3) The motions for summary judgment (Dkt. Nos. 141, 142, and 146) are **DENIED**.
- (4) Huawei’s motion to dismiss (ECF No. 145) is **GRANTED-IN-PART** to the extent that the foreign aspect of PanOptis’s Count IX is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of August, 2018.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE