

FILED

DEC 15 2016

SUSAN Y. SOONG
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING
S.A.R.L.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-5008 NC

VERDICT FORM

United States District Court
Northern District of California

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are explained in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions:

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. Has Core Wireless proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple infringes the '151 patent?

Yes X No _____

2. Has Apple proven by clear and convincing evidence that the '151 patent is invalid?

Yes as anticipated _____

Yes as obvious _____

No X

3. If you found that the '151 patent is infringed and not invalid, what amount do you find is a reasonable royalty for the '151 patent?

\$3,400,000 - THREE MILLION FOUR HUNDRED THOUSAND

Is the amount of money you awarded a one-time lump sum for past and future sales, or a royalty for past sales only (check one)?

One-time lump sum OR Royalty for past sales

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. Has Core Wireless proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple infringes the '536 patent?

Yes X No _____

5. Has Apple proven by clear and convincing evidence that the '536 patent is invalid?

Yes as anticipated _____

No X

6. If you found that the '536 patent is infringed and not invalid, what amount do you find is a reasonable royalty?

\$ 3,900,000 - THREE MILLION NINE HUNDRED THOUSAND

Is the amount of money you awarded a one-time lump sum for past and future sales, or a royalty for past sales only (check one)?

One-time lump sum OR Royalty for past sales

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Courtroom Deputy that you have reached a verdict.

Date: December 15, 2016

Signature: Emilio Davila

Presiding Juror