

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING)	
S.A.R.L.,)	
Plaintiff,)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:12-CV-100
)	
v.)	
)	
APPLE INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Final Instructions to the Jury. Your answers to each question must be unanimous.

As used herein, "Core Wireless" means Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L, and "Apple" means "Apple Inc." As used herein, "'143 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,978,143, "'664 Patent" means U.S. Patent 7,599,664, "'022 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,383,022, "'321 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 6,266,321, and "'850 patent" means U.S. Patent No. 7,804,850.

QUESTION 1:

Did Core Wireless prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple infringes the following claims of the following patents?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

'143 Patent

Claim 17 NO

Claim 21 NO

'664 Patent

Claim 14 NO

Claim 16 NO

Claim 17 NO

'022 Patent

Claim 7 NO

Claim 9 NO

Claim 10 NO

'321 Patent

Claim 14 NO

'850 Patent

Claim 1 NO

Claim 10 NO

Claim 21 NO

Claim 27 NO

ANSWER THIS QUESTION ONLY AS TO THOSE CLAIMS YOU ANSWERED "YES"
FOR IN QUESTION 1 ABOVE – OTHERWISE DO NOT ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

QUESTION 2:

Did Apple prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of the
following patents are invalid because of anticipation by prior art?

If you find the claims invalid, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."

'143 Patent

Claim 17 NO

Claim 21 NO

'664 Patent

Claim 14 _____

Claim 16 _____

Claim 17 _____

'022 Patent

Claim 7 _____

Claim 9 _____

Claim 10 _____

'321 Patent

Claim 14 _____

'850 Patent

Claim 1 _____

Claim 10 _____

Claim 21 _____

Claim 27 _____

ANSWER THIS QUESTION ONLY AS TO THOSE CLAIMS YOU ANSWERED "YES"
FOR IN QUESTION 1 ABOVE – OTHERWISE DO NOT ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

QUESTION 3:

Did Apple prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of the following patents are invalid because they are obvious based on a combination of prior art?

If you find the claims invalid, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."

'143 Patent

Claim 17 _____

Claim 21 _____

'321 Patent

Claim 14 _____

ANSWER QUESTION 4 ONLY FOR THOSE ASSERTED CLAIMS FOUND TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 4:

Did Core Wireless prove by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's infringement was willful?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each patent below.

'143 Patent _____

'664 Patent _____

'022 Patent _____

'321 Patent _____

'850 Patent _____

ANSWER QUESTION 5 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND ONE OR MORE OF THE ASSERTED CLAIMS TO BE INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID.

QUESTION 5:

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Core Wireless for infringement of the Core Wireless patents?

\$ _____

ANSWER THIS QUESTION ONLY IF YOU ANSWERED QUESTION 5.

QUESTION 6:

Is the amount of money you found in Question 5 a one-time lump sum for past and future sales or a royalty for past sales only?

Check one of the following:

One-time lump sum _____

-OR-

Royalty for past sales _____

QUESTION 7:

Did Apple prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Core Wireless breached its contractual obligation to license the patents-in-suit on a fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory (FRAND) basis?

Answer "Yes" or "No."

YES: _____

NO: _____

QUESTION 8:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 7, what amount of nominal damages should be awarded to Apple? (Nominal damages are from \$0.01 to \$1.00)

\$ _____

Signed this 16th day of March, 2015:

JURY FOREPERSON
